Introduction to health care management 3rd edition pdf textbook online

I'm not robot!

```
The field of health care management is a field considered to be in its infancy. While health care managers have been around for some time, the field has experienced a tremendous boom over the past 2-3 years has seen the greatest amount of growth, with the Affordable Care Act, an aging population and growth within the
healthcare field. As more and more students are pursuing their health care management degree, new books emerge as leader in the field including the following titles: Introduction To Health Care Management Author(s): Sharon B. Buchbinder and Nancy H. Shanks This book is a succinct, reader-friendly,
introductory healthcare management book that spans a wide variety of healthcare settings, from hospitals to nursing homes and clinics. The book is full of examples that help spur on the reader's imagination, the important issues in healthcare management, such as ethics, cost management, strategic planning and marketing, information technology
and human resources, are all thoroughly covered. The Strategic Management of Health Care Organizations 7th Edition Author(s): Peter M. Ginter This book helps take on the ground-breaking change the health care system has recently been experiencing. Many health care organizations have begun to embrace the calculated perspective developed in
the business sector as it applies to the field of health care. Understanding Health Policy, Sixth Edition Author(s): Thomas Bodenheimer and Kevin Grumbach (Author) This book offers the information students and professionals need to
build a solid framework on the most critical health Care System is one of the U.S. Health Care System is one of the most concise testaments to the basic structures and operations that
underlie the American health system. It is a great resource for topics such as health policy, allied health, health administration and finance and presents a solid overview of how the various components fit together. The Innovator's Prescription: A Disruptive Solution for
Health Care Kindle Edition Author(s): Clayton M. Christensen, Jerome H. Grossman M.D. and Jason Hwang M.D. Harvard Business School's Clayton M. Christensen has written The Innovator's Prescription, a thorough examination of the approaches that will improve health care and reduce costs. In this book the author uses the "principles of
disruptive innovation" and applies it to the broken health care system, alongside two innovators in the field—Dr. Jerome Grossman and Dr. Jason Hwang. Sharon B. Buchbinder, RN, PhD, is currently Professor and Program Coordinator of the MS in Healthcare Management Program at Stevenson, Maryland. Prior to this, she
was professor and chair of the Department of Health Science at Towson University and president of the American Hospital Management Group Corporation, MASA Healthcare Co, a health care management education and health care delivery organization based in Owings Mills, Maryland. For more than four decades, Dr. Buchbinder has worked in
many aspects of health care as a clinician, researcher, association executive, and academic. With a PhD in public health from the University of Illinois School of Public Health, she brings this blend of real-world experience and theoretical constructs to undergraduate and graduate face-to-face and online classrooms, where she is constantly reminded of
how important good teaching really is. She is past chair of the Board of the Association of University Programs in Health Care Management with
Nancy Shanks and Dale Buchbinder. Nancy H. Shanks, PhD, has extensive experience in the health care field. For 12 years, she worked as a health services researcher and health policy analyst and later served as the executive director of a grant-making, fund-raising foundation that was associated with a large multihospital system in Denver. During
the last 20+ years, Dr. Shanks has been a health care administration educator at Metropolitan State University of Denver, where she taught a variety of undergraduate courses in health services management, and law, as well as overseeing senior internship experiences.
She is currently an Emeritus Professor of Health Care Management, after serving as chair of the Department of Health Professions for seven years. Dr. Shanks's research interests have focused on health policy issues, such as providing access to health Professions for seven years. Dr. Shanks's research interests have focused on health Professions for seven years.
healthcare quality management basics—measurement, assessment, and improvement—have not changed appreciably in decades. Terminology used to describe various aspects has undergone some modifications, and specific practices have evolved, but the underlying principles are unchanged. In 1976, Jacobs, Christoffel, and Dixon wrote about
measuring patient care inputs, processes, and outcomes using an improvement methodology known as Performance Evaluation Procedure audits. In 1980, Skillicorn detailed quality and patient safety improvements at San Jose Hospital following implementation of a problem-oriented, multidisciplinary approach combined with increased individual
accountability. And a population-based approach to measuring and managing quality of ambulatory services was described in 1995 by Goldfield. These are just a few examples of the quality management evolution over the past few decades. Since the first edition of this book was published in 2009, the principles of healthcare quality management have
essentially stayed the same while the practices have continued to progress. External influences have always affected quality management in provider organizations; however, these forces are stronger than ever before. Value-based reimbursement and public reporting of provider-specific performance data are two of the many factors driving changes
in quality management practices. Updates for the third edition of this book cover topics such as new quality management regulations and standards, healthcare application from other industries, and how to manage the quality of population health improvement initiatives. This edition also includes more case studies
from varied provider sites, new clinical and nonclinical examples, and many additional websites to expand your learning experiences. As in past editions of this book, the material is intended for people with little or no clinical examples are primarily focused on the provision of health services, not the diagnosis and treatment of medical
conditions. When topics of a clinical nature are discussed, explanatory notes and examples are added to help clarify the information. The language of quality management can also be a barrier to learning. For this reason, various analogies from common life situations are used to illustrate concepts. For example, measuring healthcare quality is similar
to measuring one's weight on a scale. A simple, familiar analogy is often the best way to explain what may appear at first to be a complex topic. CONTENT OVERVIEW Chapter 1 introduces students to the concepts of healthcare quality from the viewpoint of various stakeholders. Consumers' perceived value of a product or service differs when the
modality being purchased is healthcare services. The Institute of Medicine definition of healthcare quality and important quality characteristics also are covered in chapter 1. How the quality of these characteristics is managed is covered throughout the remainder of the book. The three interconnected building blocks of quality management—
measurement, assessment, and improvement—are discussed in chapter 2. Students are exposed to the history of industrial quality improvement, starting in the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart, W. Edwards Deming, Kaoru Ishikawa, and other quality improvement, starting in the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart, W. Edwards Deming, Kaoru Ishikawa, and other quality improvement, starting in the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart, W. Edwards Deming, Kaoru Ishikawa, and other quality improvement, starting in the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart, W. Edwards Deming, Kaoru Ishikawa, and other quality improvement, starting in the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart, W. Edwards Deming, Kaoru Ishikawa, and other quality improvement, starting in the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart, W. Edwards Deming, Kaoru Ishikawa, and other quality improvement, starting in the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart, W. Edwards Deming, Kaoru Ishikawa, and other quality improvement, starting in the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart, W. Edwards Deming, Kaoru Ishikawa, and other quality improvement, starting in the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart Ishikawa, and other quality improvement, starting in the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart Ishikawa, and other quality improvement, starting in the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart Ishikawa, and other province of the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart Ishikawa, and other province of the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart Ishikawa, and other province of the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart Ishikawa, and other province of the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart Ishikawa, and other province of the 1940s with the works of Walter Shewhart Ishikawa, and other province of the 1940s with the works of the 1940s with the 1940s with the works of the 1940s with the 1940s
now being successfully applied in healthcare provider organizations. The chapter concludes with a discussion of the ever-increasing external forces causing providers to strengthen their quality focus. The building blocks of quality management are elaborated in chapter explains how to measure, assess, and improve quality.
Chapter 3 describes the four categories of measures: structure, process, outcome, and patient experience. The chapter also covers current regulations and accreditation standards affecting the provider's choice of measures are used for
quality management purposes, including how clinical decision-making is evaluated. Measurement does not directly lead to improvements in quality. Two additional steps are needed: data compilation and assessment, which are discussed in chapter 4. Assessment of measurement data is performed to determine whether performance is acceptable, and
it starts with data compilation and display. The chapter illustrates both tabular and graphic reporting formats and includes case studies showing how to create these reports and use them to evaluate results. Statistical process control (SPC), a performance assessment technique introduced in the 1940s by Shewhart, also is covered in this chapter.
Examples show how SPC can be applied to healthcare measurement and assessment ultimately lead to the last step—improvement. The fundamentals of quality improvement are covered in chapters 5 through 7. Chapter 5 describes various improvement are covered in chapters 5 through 7. Chapter 5 describes various improvement are covered in chapters 5 through 7. Chapter 5 describes various improvement are covered in chapters 5 through 7. Chapter 5 describes various improvement are covered in chapters 5 through 7. Chapter 5 describes various improvement are covered in chapters 5 through 7. Chapter 5 describes various improvement are covered in chapters 5 through 7. Chapter 5 describes various improvement are covered in chapters 5 through 7. Chapter 5 describes various improvement are covered in chapters 5 through 7. Chapter 5 describes various improvement are covered in chapters 5 through 7. Chapter 5 describes various improvement are covered in chapters 5 through 7. Chapter 5 describes various improvement are covered in chapters 5 describes various in chapters 5 describes various in chapters 5 describes various in chapters 5 describes various
Lean Six Sigma because these are becoming more commonplace in provider organizations. Chapter 5 also includes a case study that helps the reader to understand improvement model steps. Chapter 6 covers the tools used to measure quality and the
improvement tools used in Lean and Six Sigma projects. Often teams are formed to conduct improvement projects, and chapter 7 describes the responsibilities of various team members and project management functions. Patient safety, high reliability, and utilization management are three components of healthcare quality that are of particular
interest to regulators, payers, and consumers. For this reason, one chapter is devoted to each of these topics. Chapter 8 applies the building blocks of measurement, assessment, and improvement to the principles and practices of patient safety. Two specialized safety improvement models—failure mode and effects analysis, and root cause analysis—and practices of patient safety. Two specialized safety improvement models—failure mode and effects analysis, and root cause analysis—and practices of patient safety.
are covered in depth, accompanied by case study illustrations. Chapter 9 explains what a reliable process is and how to create one. Techniques used for years in high-reliability industries are now being applied to healthcare processes to reduce failures and achieve reliable quality. A number of mistake-proofing strategies for clinical and nonclinical
activities also are covered in this chapter. Reducing the cost of healthcare services by using utilization management techniques continues to be challenging for payers and provider organizations. Chapter 10 describes a number of cost-control techniques, including several new payment models that incentivize providers to become more cost sensitive.
The practices of discharge planning and case management also are covered in this chapter, as well as regulatory and accreditation requirements. A new trend affecting quality management activities in provider organizations is population health care. For this reason, this third edition devotes a full chapter to this topic. Chapter 11 describes the
concept of population health care and explains why new reimbursement strategies are influencing provider organizations to become involved in these initiatives. The chapter also discusses the application health care, and it
includes two case studies illustrating the application of population health quality management activities. Effective leadership direction and a supportive culture are cornerstones of a successful quality program. Chapter 12 provides an overview of quality program structures and key players in measurement, assessment, and improvement activities.
This chapter concludes with a discussion of organizational dynamics that affect the achievement of quality goals. SUPPLEMENTAL AND INSTRUCTIONAL RESOURCES Each chapter concludes with students a chance to apply
the knowledge they have gained. Others promote continued learning through discovery and use of information available on the Internet. A book at least twice this reason, only basic principles and practices are described in this book. In some
instances, supplemental learning materials may be needed to delve deeper into a subject or to become familiar with a quality-related topic that is not addressed in the text. The lists of websites at the end of each chapter have been greatly expanded from the second edition to provide even more learning opportunities. For rapidly changing topics, such
as alternative reimbursement models and externally imposed performance measurement requirements, current journal articles may be the best information sources. Patrice L. Spath, MA, RHIT REFERENCES Goldfield, N. 1995. The Measurement and Management of the Quality of Ambulatory Services: A Population-Based Approach. In The
Epidemiology of Quality, edited by V. A. Kazandjian, 171-96. Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen Publishers. Jacobs, C. M., T. H. Christoffel, and N. Dixon. 1976. Measuring the Quality of Patient Care: The Rationale for Outcome Audit. Cambridge, MA: Ballinger Publishing. Skillicorn, S. A. 1980. Quality and Accountability: A New Era in American Hospitals. San
Francisco: Editorial Consultants. INSTRUCTOR RESOURCES This book's Instructor Resources include a test bank, PowerPoint slides, answers to the in-book questions, and a PDF of the American College of Healthcare Executives / NPSF Lucian Leape Institute guide Leading a Culture of Safety: A Blueprint for Success. For the most up-to-date
information about this book and its Instructor Resources, go to ache.org/HAP and browse for the book's Instructor Resources are available to instructor Resources are available to instructor Resources. For access information, please e-mail hapbooks@ache.org. CHAPTER 1 FOCUS ON QUALITY LEARNING OBJECTIVES
After reading this chapter, you will be able to recognize factors that influence consumers' perception of quality products and services; explain the relationship between cost and quality; identify quality characteristics important to healthcare quality. KEY
WORDS Cost-effectiveness Defensive medicine Healthcare quality High-value healthcare Improvement (IHI) IHI Triple Aim framework National Academy of Medicine National Academy of Medicine National Quality Strategy Providers Purchasers Quality Objects Purchasers Quality Strategy Providers Purchasers Quality Strategy Purchasers Quality Purchasers Quality Purchasers Quality Purchasers Quality Purchasers Qu
developed into an international corporation with more than 23,000 locations worldwide. The company's dedication to providing a quality customer experience is a major contributor to its success. Starbucks's customer experience is a major contributor to its success. Starbucks's customer experience is a major contributor to its success.
encounter, Starbucks meets or exceeds those expectations. This consistency does not occur by chance. Starbucks's exacting standards of quality and flavor to ensuring baristas are properly trained to prepare espresso, every part of the
process is carefully managed. Providing high-quality healthcare services also requires much work behind the front lines. Every element in the complex process of healthcare delivery to meet or exceed customers' expectations. These
expectations include delivering an excellent patient care experience, providing only necessary healthcare services, and doing so at the lowest cost possible. WHAT IS QUALITY? In its broadest sense, quality is an attribute of a product or service, and doing so at the lowest cost possible.
No universally accepted definition of quality exists; however, its definitions share common elements: Quality today may not be good enough to be considered quality tomorrow). Quality can be improved. RELIABILITY An important aspect of quality
is reliability. From an engineering perspective, reliability refers to the ability of a device, system, or process to perform its prescribed function without failure occurs. For instance, your laptop computer is considered reliable when it
functions properly during normal use. If it stops functioning—fails—you have an unreliable computer. Consumers want to experience quality that is reliable. Patrons of Starbucks pay a premium to get the same taste, quality, and experience quality that is reliable. Patrons of Starbucks pay a premium to get the same taste, quality, and experience at every Starbucks location (Clark 2008). James Harrington, past president of the American Society for Quality, and experience at every Starbucks location (Clark 2008).
cautioned manufacturers to focus on reliability more than they have in recent years to retain market share. First-time buyers of an automobile are often influenced by features, cost, and perceived quality. Repeat buyers of an automobile are often influenced by features, cost, and perceived quality. Repeat buyers of an automobile are often influenced by features, cost, and perceived quality.
process performs as expected a high proportion of the time. An unreliable category. Healthcare processes that fail to consistently perform as expected a high proportion of the time contribute to medical errors that cause up to
400,000 annual deaths in the United States and even more serious harm events (DuPree and Chassin 2016). Healthcare consumers are no different from consumers a
want to find broken or missing parts when we unwrap new merchandise. We are disheartened when our banks fail to record a deposit and our debit card withdrawals are denied. How you respond to disappointing situations depends on how you are affected by them. With a
product purchase, if the merchandise is expensive, you will likely contact the store immediately to arrange an exchange or a refund. If the product is inexpensive, you may chalk it up to experience and vow never to do business with the company again. At a restaurant, your expectations increase as the price of the food goes up. Yet, if you are adversely
affected—for example, you get food poisoning—you will be an unhappy customer no matter the cost of the meal. The same is true for banks that make mistakes. No one wants the hassle of reversing a bank error, even if the checking account is free. Unhappy clients tend to move on to do business with another bank. Cost and quality affect the
customer experience in all industries. But in healthcare, these factors are harder for the average consumer to evaluate than in other types of business. Tainted restaurant food is easier to recognize than an unskilled surgeon is. As for cost, everyone agrees that healthcare is expensive, yet if someone else is paying for it—an insurance company, the
government, or a relative—the cost factor becomes less important to the consumer. If your surgery does not go well, however, you'll be an unhappy customer regardless of what it cost. In all industries, multiple dynamics influenced by how much the consumer is willing to pay.
For example, one person may pay a premium to get the latest and most innovative electronic gadget, whereas another person may wait until the price comes down before buying it. This phenomenon is also evident in service industries. Rosemont College, a private coeducational institution in Bryn Mawr, Pennsylvania, reduced tuition to attract
students. For the 2016–2017 academic year, the college dropped tuition from $32,620 to $18,500, and room and board costs from $13,400 to $11,500. These cost reductions resulted in a 64 percent increase in applications without any change in academic offerings (Hope 2017). Second, low quality—say, poor customer service or inferior products—
                                     to lose sales. The US electronics and automotive industries faced this outcome in the early 1980s when American consumers started buying more Japanese products (Walton 1986). Business and government leaders realized that an emphasis on quality was necessary to compete in a more demanding, and expanding
market. CONSUMER-SUPPLIER RELATIONSHIP The consumer-supplier relationship in healthcare services until the price comes down. If you break your arm, you immediately go to a doctor or
an emergency department to be treated. You are not likely to shop around for the best price or postpone treatment if you are in severe pain. In most healthcare encounters, the insurance companies or government-sponsored payment systems (such as Medicaid) are the consumer's agent. When healthcare costs are too high, they drive
the resistance against rising rates. These groups act on behalf of consumers in an attempt to keep healthcare costs down. They exert their buying power by negotiating with healthcare providers—the suppliers—for services considered
medically unnecessary. If a doctor admits you to the hospital to put a cast on your broken arm, your insurance company should be
charged for the higher costs of hospital care if a less expensive and reasonable treatment alternative is available. The connection between cost and quality is value. Most consumers—whether patients or health plans—
want providers to meet their needs at a reasonable cost (in terms of money, time, ease of use, and so forth). When customers believe they are receiving value for their dollars, they are more likely to perceive their healthcare quality? Each group most affected by this
question—consumers, purchasers, and providers—may answer it differently. Most consumers expect quality in the delivery of healthcare services: Patients want to receive the right treatments and experience good outcomes; everyone wants to have satisfactory interactions with caregivers; and consumers want the physical facilities where care is
provided to be clean and pleasant, and they want their doctors to use the best technology available. Consumer expectations are only part of the definition, however. Purchasers and providers may view quality in terms of other attributes. IDENTIFYING THE STAKEHOLDERS IN QUALITY CARE Purchasers are individuals and organizations that pay for
healthcare services either directly or indirectly. If you pay out of pocket for health insurance programs, private health
the cost of healthcare and many of the same quality characteristics that are important to consumers. People who are financially responsible for some or all of their healthcare costs want to receive value for the dollars they spend. Purchasers view quality in terms of cost-effectiveness, meaning they want value
in return for their healthcare expenditures. Provider and clinical support and clinical suppo
other institutions that provide care. In addition to the attributes important to consumers and purchasers, providers are concerned about legal liability—the risk that unsatisfied consumers will bring suit against the organization or individual. This concern can influence how providers define quality. Suppose you have a migraine headache, and your
doctor orders a CT (computed tomography) scan of your head to be 100 percent certain there are no physician may have no medical reason to order the test, but he is taking every possible measure to avert the prospect that you will sue him for malpractice. In this scenario, your doctor is practicing defensive medicine—
ordering or performing diagnostic or therapeutic interventions to safeguard the providers' desire to avoid lawsuits can be at odds with purchasers' desire for cost-effectiveness. DEFINING HEALTHCARE QUALITY Before efforts to improve
healthcare quality can be undertaken, a common definition of quality is needed to work from, one that encompasses the priorities of all stakeholder groups—consumers, purchasers, and providers. The Institute of Medicine (IOM), a nonprofit organization that provides science-based advice on matters of medicine and health (and now called the
National Academy of Medicine), brought the stakeholder groups together to create a workable definition of healthcare quality assurance published this definition: Quality of care is the degree to which health services for individuals and populations increase the
likelihood of desired health outcomes and are consistent with current professional knowledge (IOM 1990, 4). In 2001, the IOM Committee on Quality of Health Care in America further clarified the concept of healthcare quality in its report Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century. The committee identified six dimensions
of US healthcare quality (listed in critical concept 1.1), which influence the improvement priorities of all stakeholder groups. The IOM healthcare quality dimensions, together with the 1990 IOM quality-of-care definition, encompass what are commonly considered attributes of healthcare quality. Donald Berwick, MD (2005), then president of the
Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI), put this description into consumer terms when he wrote about his upcoming knee replacement and what he expected from his providers: Don't keep me waiting. Don't waste resources—mine or
anyone else's. The attribute of reliability is also important in healthcare quality. It is not enough to meet consumer expectations 90 percent of the time. Unfortunately, healthcare today does not maintain consistently high levels of quality over time and across all
services and settings (Burstin, Leatherman, and Goldmann 2016). Quality continues to vary greatly from provider, and inconsistent levels of performance are still seen within organizations. In addition to the goal of achieving ever-better performance, healthcare organizations must strive for reliable guality. When consumers define
healthcare quality, they include high-value healthcare that achieves good outcomes at reasonable prices. Currently, the cost-quality ratio is far from ideal. Quality shortfalls exist in areas such as treatment effectiveness, care coordination, patient safety, and person-centered care (AHRQ 2016). Poorly designed processes can create quality problems
and unnecessarily increase costs throughout the healthcare system. For example, when previous test results or health records are not available to the doctor during a patient's appointment, inaccurate diagnoses or duplicate testing can occur. In a recent survey, nearly 20 percent of patients in the United States reported that records or test results
had not been available at an appointment in the past two years, or that duplicate tests had been ordered (Osborn et al. 2016). Better value in healthcare cannot be attained until the quality shortfalls are greatly reduced. Safe—Care intended to help patients should not harm them. Effective—Care should be based on scientific knowledge and provided
to patients who could benefit. Care should not be provided to patients unlikely to benefit from it. In other words, underuse and overuse should be avoided. Patient centered—Care should be respectful of and responsive to individual patient values, and values, and patient values should be respectful of and responsive to individual patient values.
provided promptly when the patient needs it. Efficient—Waste, including equipment, supplies, ideas, and energy, should be avoided. Equitable—The best possible care should be provided to everyone, regardless of age, sex, race, financial status, or any other demographic variable. Source: Adapted from IOM (2001). SELECTING IMPROVEMENT AIMS
The National Quality Strategy, led by the Agency for Health and Human Services, was first published in 2011 as the National Strategy for Quality Improvement in Health Care (AHRQ 2017). The purpose of the National Quality Strategy for Quality Improvement in Health Care (AHRQ 2017).
national improvement efforts. It was developed with input from more than 300 individuals, groups, organizations, and other stakeholders representing all parts of the healthcare sector and the public. When setting national aims, the National Quality Strategy adapted the IHI Triple Aim framework (Berwick, Nolan, and Whittington 2008). This
framework detailed an interrelated approach for achieving optimal health system performance by simultaneously making improvements in three dimensions (care, health, and cost) that IHI called the Triple Aim. The three broad aims of the National Quality Strategy are similar (AHRQ 2017): Better Care: Improve the overall quality, by making
healthcare more patient-centered, reliable, accessible, and safe. Healthy People/Healthy Communities: Improve the health of the US population by supporting proven interventions to address behavioral, social, and environmental determinants of health in addition to delivering higher-quality care. Affordable Care: Reduce the cost of quality healthcare
for individuals, families, employers, and government. To advance these aims, the National Quality Strategy focuses on six priorities (AHRQ 2017): Making care safer by reducing harm caused in the delivery of care. Ensuring that each person and family is engaged as partners in their care. Promoting effective communication and coordination of care.
Promoting the most effective prevention and treatment practices for the leading causes of mortality, starting with communities to promote wide use of best practices to enable healthy living. Making quality care more affordable for individuals, families, employers, and governments by developing and spreading
new healthcare delivery models. Each year, AHRQ publishes a report detailing the state of healthcare quality in the United States and the country's progress toward meeting the aims and priorities of the National Quality Strategy. At the end of this chapter is a website where the current National Quality Strategy report can be found. CONCLUSION
Customers' perceptions and needs determine whether a product or service is excellent. Quality involves understanding customer expectations and creating a product or service that reliably meets those expectations. Achieving high quality can be elusive because customer needs and expectations are always changing. To keep up with the changes,
quality must be constantly managed and continuously improved. Healthcare organizations are being challenged to improve the quality, reliability, and value of services. As shown in chapter 2, they can achieve this goal through a systematic quality management process. FOR DISCUSSION In your opinion, which companies provide superior customer
service? Which companies provide average or mediocre customer service? Name the factors most important to you when judging the quality of a company's customer service were you pleased with? What could have been done better? How does the reliability of
healthcare services affect the quality of care you find to be the least reliable? What type do you find to be the least reliable? What type do you find to be the least reliable? What type do you find to be the least reliable? What type do you find the most important to
providers, and why? Which priority do you believe is most important to health insurance companies, and why? Which priority do you believe will be the most difficult to achieve, and why? Which priority do you believe will be the most difficult to achieve, and why? WEBSITES •American Hospital Association www.apha.org •American Hos
Society for Quality www.asq.org •Hospitals in Pursuit of Excellence, sponsored by the American Hospital Association www.hpoe.org •Institute for Healthcare www.centerfortransforming Healthcare www.hpoe.org •National Academy of Medicine (formerly called the Institute of
Medicine) •National Quality Strategy www.ahrq.gov/workingforquality REFERENCES Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Strategy. Published March. www.ahrq.gov/workingforquality/about/index.html. ——. 2016. 2015 National Healthcare Quality and Disparities Report and 5th Anniversary
Update on the National Quality Strategy. Accessed October 22, 2017. www.ahrq.gov/research/findings/nhqdr/nhqdr15/index.html. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. M. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 142 (2): 121–25. Berwick, D. 2005. My Right Knee. Annals of Internal Medicine 
Press. DuPree, E. S., and M. R. Chassin. 2016. Organizing Performance Management to Support High-Reliability Healthcare. In America's Healthcare Transformation: Strategies and Innovations, edited by R. A. Phillips, 3–16. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press. Harrington, H. J. 2009. Nice Car... When It Runs. Quality Digest 29 (2): 12.
Hope, J. 2017. Consider How Lowering Tuition Paid Off in Enrollment Boost for Small College. Enrollment Management Report 18
```

